

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Linear Mappings

In this appendix basic ideas of linear algebra are reformulated in terms of the geometric language developed in this paper. The use of geometric algebra in the study of linear mappings makes the introduction of matrix algebra largely unnecessary.

Let $y: \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n$ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{E}_n into \mathcal{E}_n . Since the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ is from \mathcal{E}_n into \mathcal{E}_n , $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{x}} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{y(\underline{x})}$ for all $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Also $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{H}^1$, i.e., vectors which are names for points in \mathcal{E}_n are identified with tangent vectors of \mathcal{H}^1 .

In this appendix the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ is always taken to be linear.

a) Basic Definitions and Properties

Definition A.1 The mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ is said to be

linear, provided for all scalars α, β , and points $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$,

$$y(\alpha \underline{x}_1 + \beta \underline{x}_2) = \alpha y(\underline{x}_1) + \beta y(\underline{x}_2).$$

Definition A.2 The mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ is said to be sym-

metric if for all $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $y(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{x}_2 = \underline{x}_1 \cdot y(\underline{x}_2)$.

Definition A.3 The mapping $y = y(x)$ is said to be skew-symmetric if for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $y(x_1) \cdot x_2 = -x_1 \cdot y(x_2)$.

The following theorem shows that a linear mapping is equivalent to its differential mapping at each point $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Theorem A.4 If y_+ is the differential mapping of $y(x)$ at any point $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$, then $y_+(\underline{a}) = y(\underline{a})$ for all $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{N}_x^1 = \mathcal{E}_n$.

Proof $y_+ \underline{a} = \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_x y(x)$

def. 2.7 $= |\underline{a}| \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y(x + \Delta x) - y(x)}{|\Delta x|}$

$$= |\underline{a}| \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y(\Delta x)}{|\Delta x|}$$

$$= y \left(|\underline{a}| \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta x}{|\Delta x|} \right)$$

def. 2.7 $= y [|\underline{a}| \hat{\underline{a}}] = y(\underline{a})$

XXXX

Lemma A.5

$$(i) \quad \nabla_x x \cdot y(x) = y_+ x + y^+ x$$

$$(ii) \quad x \cdot [\nabla_{x_1} \Delta y(x_1)] = y_+ x - y^+ x.$$

Proof (i) $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{x} \cdot y(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \underline{x}_1 \cdot y(\underline{x}) + \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} y(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{x}$

$$= y_{\uparrow \underline{x}} + y^{\dagger} \underline{x}$$

(ii) $\underline{x} \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge y(\underline{x}_1)] = \underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} y(\underline{x}_1) - \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} y(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{x}$ identity 0.39

$$= y_{\uparrow \underline{x}} - y^{\dagger} \underline{x}$$

XXXX

Theorem A.6 The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $y(\underline{x})$ is symmetric
- (ii) $y_{\uparrow} \equiv y^{\dagger}$
- (iii) $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge y(\underline{x}) = 0$ for all $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Proof It is shown that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). If $y(\underline{x})$ is symmetric, then for all $\underline{x}_1,$

$$\underline{x}_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n,$$

$$y(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{x}_2 = \underline{x}_1 \cdot y(\underline{x}_2)$$

theorem A.4 $= \underline{x}_1 \cdot y_{\uparrow} \underline{x}_2$

cor. 3.6 $= (y^{\dagger} \underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{x}_2$

This implies $y_{\uparrow} \underline{x}_1 = y^{\dagger} \underline{x}_1$ for all $\underline{x}_1 \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Since the above argument can be reversed, the proof of this part is complete.

(ii) \dagger (iii) follows trivially from lemma A.5(ii).

XXXX

Corollary A.7 (i) If $y(x)$ and $w(x)$ are symmetric

linear mappings, and $y \circ w \equiv w \circ y$, then $y \circ w$ is symmetric.

(ii) If $y(x)$ is symmetric, then $y^{\dagger}(x) \equiv y^{\dagger} \circ \dots \circ y(x)$

is symmetric.

Proof (i) Because of theorem A.6(ii) it is sufficient

to show that $(y \circ w)_{\dagger} = (y \circ w)^{\dagger}$.

$(y \circ w)_{\dagger} = y_{\dagger} \circ w_{\dagger}$ theorem 4.2(i)

theorem A.4 = $w_{\dagger} \circ y_{\dagger}$

theorem A.6(ii) = $w^{\dagger} \circ y^{\dagger}$

theorem 4.2(ii) = $(y \circ w)^{\dagger}$

(ii) is a trivial consequence of (i).

XXXX

The analogous theorem to A.6 for skew-symmetric mappings is:

Theorem A.8 The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $y(x)$ is skew-symmetric

(ii) $y_{\dagger} \equiv -y^{\dagger}$

(iii) $\nabla_x x \cdot y(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E_n$.

The next theorem decomposes $y(\underline{x})$ into the sum of a symmetric mapping and a skew-symmetric mapping. Its proof is an easy consequence of the preceding theorems, and is omitted.

Theorem A.9 $y(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{x} \cdot y(\underline{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \underline{x} \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \Delta y(\underline{x}_1)]$, where

the first term on the right is symmetric and the second term skew-symmetric.

Definition A.10 Call $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot y(\underline{x})$ the trace of $y(\underline{x})$.

This is equivalent to the definition of trace in matrix theory.

Theorem A.11 If $y(\underline{x})$ is skew-symmetric, then $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot y(\underline{x}) = 0$.

Proof By theorem A.9, $y(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \underline{x} \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \Delta y(\underline{x}_1)]$. Thus,

$$\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot y(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot \{ \underline{x} \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \Delta y(\underline{x}_1)] \}$$

$$\text{identity 0.42} \quad = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \Delta \underline{x}] \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \Delta y(\underline{x})]$$

$$\text{cor. 7.2} \quad = 0.$$

XXXX

Definition A.12 The mapping $y = y(\underline{x})$ is said to be

orthogonal if for each $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $y(\underline{x}_1) \cdot y(\underline{x}_2) = \underline{x}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_2$.

Theorem A.13 The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $y(x)$ is orthogonal.

(ii) $y^\dagger(y_+x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

(iii) $(y_+x)^2 = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Proof It will be shown that (i) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (iii) \rightarrow (i).

(i) \rightarrow (ii) If $y(x_1) \cdot y(x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$,

then by using theorem A.4 and corollary 3.6, $x_1 \cdot y^\dagger y_+x_2 = x_1 \cdot x_2$ for

all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$. This implies $y^\dagger(y_+x_2) = x_2$ for all $x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) If $y^\dagger y_+x = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$, then

$$-x^2 = x \cdot y^\dagger y_+x$$

$$\text{cor. 3.6} \quad = y_+x \cdot y_+x.$$

Hence, $(y_+x)^2 = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

(iii) \rightarrow (i) If $(y_+x)^2 = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y_+x_1 \cdot y_+x_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ [y_+(x_1+x_2)]^2 - (y_+x_1)^2 - (y_+x_2)^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ (x_1+x_2)^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 \} \\ &= x_1 \cdot x_2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

b) The Characteristic Polynomial

Definition A.14 For the linear mapping $y = y(x)$, let

$c(x) = y(x) - \lambda x$ for each $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$, and where λ is a scalar.

Then the characteristic polynomial of $y = y(x)$ in the variable

λ is $\psi(\lambda) \equiv J_{\bar{c}_n}$, and its characteristic equation in the variable

λ is $\psi(\lambda) = 0$.

Theorem A.15 $\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [J_{\bar{y}_{n-i}}]_0 \lambda^i$.

Proof In theorem 4.3(ii) let $g(x) = y(x)$, and

$h(x) = -\lambda x$. Then $\psi(\lambda) \equiv J_{\bar{c}_n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{theorem 4.3(ii)} &= \sum_{i=0}^n \nabla_{\bar{x}_i} \Delta \nabla_{\bar{x}_{n-i}} \bar{y}_{n-i} \overline{\Lambda(-\lambda x)}_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i \nabla_{\bar{x}_{n-i}} \Delta \nabla_{\bar{x}_i} \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{y}_{n-i} \\
 \text{identity 0.42} &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i \nabla_{\bar{x}_{n-i}} \cdot [\nabla_{\bar{x}_i} \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{y}_{n-i}] \\
 \text{theorem 7.4(ii)} &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i \nabla_{\bar{x}_{n-i}} \bar{y}_{n-i}
 \end{aligned}$$

The last steps follow by expanding $[\nabla_{\bar{x}_{n-1}} \cdot (y_2 \wedge \dots \wedge y_{n-1} \wedge y)] y_1$, and making repeated use of the fact that $y^i(x) \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}} y(x) = y^{i+1}(x)$.

XXXX

A known result in matrix theory is that the scalar invariants of the characteristic polynomial of a matrix can be expressed in terms of traces of powers of the matrix. The final theorem of this section shows the equivalent by a recursive decomposition of $[J_{\bar{y}_r}]_0$.

Theorem A.17 For the mapping $y(x)$, $[J_{\bar{y}_1}]_0 \equiv \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y$,

$$\text{and } [J_{\bar{y}_r}]_0 = \frac{1}{r} \{ \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y [J_{\bar{y}_{r-1}}]_0 - \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y^2 [J_{\bar{y}_{r-2}}]_0 + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y^r \},$$

for $r \leq n$.

Proof $[J_{\bar{y}_r}]_0 = \nabla_{\bar{x}_r} \cdot \bar{y}_r$

$$= \frac{1}{r} (\nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \wedge \nabla_{\bar{x}}) \cdot (y \wedge \bar{y}_{r-1})$$

identity 0.38 $= \frac{1}{r} \{ \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \cdot \bar{y}_{r-1} - [(\nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \cdot y) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{x}}^\dagger] \cdot \bar{y}_{r-1} \}$

identity 0.40 $= \frac{1}{r} \{ \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \cdot \bar{y}_{r-1} - (\nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-2}} \wedge \nabla_{\bar{x}}) \cdot (y^2 \wedge \bar{y}_{r-2}) \}$

⋮
⋮

$$= \frac{1}{r} \{ \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \cdot \bar{y}_{r-1} - \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y^2 \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-2}} \cdot \bar{y}_{r-2} + \dots$$

$$+ (-1)^{r+1} \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot y^r \} .$$

The proof is now complete.

XXXX

The proof of this theorem is almost identical to that of theorem 6.7.

c) Invariant Linear Subspaces

Definition A.18 A point set $\mathcal{I}_r \subset E_n$ is called an

r-plane of E_n through the origin if there is a simple r-vector $I_r \in \mathcal{G}^r$ such that,

$$\mathcal{I}_r = \mathcal{I}_r(I_r) \equiv \{x \in E_n \mid x \wedge I_r = 0\} .$$

The simple r-vector I_r is said to define the r-plane

$\mathcal{I}(I_r)$.

As a "flat" r-surface in E_n , as defined in section 2, \mathcal{I}_r has a geometric algebra $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ of 2^r -dimensions. The r-vector I_r is a pseudoscalar of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$, and as such is unique up to a scalar multiple. The r-plane \mathcal{I}_r can also be regarded as a linear subspace of E_n .

Definition A.19 Let I_r be a simple r-vector, and λ a

scalar. If $y \uparrow I_r = \lambda I_r$, then I_r is said to be an invariant

r-vector, and λ an r-value of the mapping $y = y(x)$. If in

addition $\lambda \neq 0$, then λ is called a proper r -value and I_r is called a proper invariant r -vector.

Invariant 1 -vectors and 1 -values are also called eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

The following theorem gives the relationships between invariant r -vectors, and invariant linear subspaces of a linear mapping $y(x)$.

Theorem A.20

(i) If \mathcal{L}_r is an invariant linear subspace, and

$I_r = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{L}_r)$, then I_r is an invariant r -vector.

(ii) If I_r is a proper invariant r -vector, then

$\mathcal{L}_r \equiv \mathcal{L}_r(I_r)$ is an invariant subspace, and the mapping $y(x)$

when restricted to \mathcal{L}_r is non-singular.

Proof (i) Since I_r is a pseudoscalar element of

$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}_r}$, there are vectors $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathcal{L}_r$ such that

$I_r = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r$. Thus,

$$y_+ I_r = y_+(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r)$$

theorem 3.3(i) $= y_+ x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_+ x_r$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{theorem A.4} \quad &= y(\underline{x}_1) \wedge \dots \wedge y(\underline{x}_r) \\ &= \lambda I_r, \end{aligned}$$

for some scalar λ , since $y(\underline{x}_i) \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for each i , and pseudo-scalar elements are unique up to a scalar multiple.

(ii) Let $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{A}_r$. It must be shown that $y(\underline{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_r$, or equivalently that $y(\underline{x}) \wedge I_r = 0$. Since $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $\underline{x} \wedge I_r = 0$,

and thus

$$0 = y_{\dagger}(\underline{x} \wedge I_r)$$

$$\text{theorem 3.3(i)} \quad = y_{\dagger} \underline{x} \wedge y_{\dagger} I_r$$

$$\text{theorem A.4} \quad = y(\underline{x}) \wedge y_{\dagger} I_r.$$

But since $y_{\dagger} I_r = \lambda I_r$ where $\lambda \neq 0$, it follows that $y(\underline{x}) \wedge I_r = 0$.

Finally the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ when restricted to \mathcal{A}_r is non-singular because,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\underline{y}}^{\mathcal{A}_r} &\equiv I_r^{-1} I_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_r} \bar{y}_r \\ &= I_r^{-1} y_{\dagger} I_r \\ &= I_r^{-1} \lambda I_r \\ &= \lambda \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since I_r is a proper invariant r -vector.

XXXX

The final theorem of this appendix factors the characteristic polynomial of $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ into the product of characteristic polynomials of $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ when restricted to invariant linear subspaces.

Let I be a pseudoscalar element of \mathcal{G} , the geometric algebra of \mathcal{E}_n , and suppose $I = I_{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge I_{r_k}$, where I_{r_i} are invariant r_i -vectors of the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$. Let $\Psi(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$, and let $\Psi_{r_i}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomials of the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ when restricted to the invariant subspaces $\mathcal{I}(I_{r_i})$.

Theorem A.21 $\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi_{r_1}(\lambda) \dots \Psi_{r_k}(\lambda)$

Proof Let $c(\underline{x}) = y(\underline{x}) - \lambda \underline{x}$. Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\lambda) &= \nabla_{\underline{x}_n} \bar{c}_n \\ &= I^{-1} I \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_n} \bar{c}_n \\ &= I^{-1} (I_{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge I_{r_k}) \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_n} \bar{c}_n \\ &= I^{-1} [(I_{r_1} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_{r_1}} \bar{c}_r) \wedge \dots \wedge (I_{r_k} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_{r_k}} \bar{c}_{r_k})] \end{aligned}$$

theorem 3.3(i)

$$= I^{-1} [(I_{r_1} I_{r_1}^{-1} I_{r_1} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r_1}} \bar{c}_{r_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (I_{r_k} I_{r_k}^{-1} I_{r_k} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r_k}} \bar{c}_{r_k})]$$

$$= I^{-1} [I_{r_1} \Psi_{r_1}(\lambda) \wedge \dots \wedge I_{r_k} \Psi_{r_k}(\lambda)]$$

$$= I^{-1} I \Psi_{r_1}(\lambda) \dots \Psi_{r_k}(\lambda)$$

$$= \Psi_{r_1}(\lambda) \dots \Psi_{r_k}(\lambda)$$

Appendix B. Jacobians and Transformations of Integrals

The purpose of this appendix is to show how the methods of this paper can be used to derive formulas from advanced calculus relating to Jacobians and transformations of integrals. In part (a) the relation of the characteristic multivector \mathcal{J}_{y_m} to the Jacobian is discussed. In part (b) differential statements proved in Part II are rewritten as transformation formulas for integrals.

a) The Jacobian of a Mapping

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_m$ be a mapping between the m -surfaces \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m in \mathcal{E}_n . The following is a more general definition of the Jacobian than is given in advanced calculus books.

Definition B.1 Call $J_{y_m}(x)$ the Jacobian of the mapping $y(x)$ at the point x .

It will be shown below that this definition is equivalent to the usual definition of the Jacobian when $m = n$, i.e., when \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m are n -surfaces in \mathcal{E}_n .

Suppose now that \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m are n -surfaces in \mathcal{E}_n , and let $\{e_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a constant orthonormal frame on

\mathcal{E}_n . In terms of this frame the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ can be written as

$$y(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(\underline{x}) \underline{e}_i, \text{ where } \underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \underline{e}_i.$$

The following is the usual definition of the Jacobian in terms of the partial derivatives of its components $y_i(\underline{x})$. See for example [3, p.139].

Definition B.2 The Jacobian of the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ is:

$$J_y(\underline{x}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

Theorem B.3 When \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m are n -surfaces in

$$\mathcal{E}_n, \quad J_y(\underline{x}) \equiv J_{\bar{y}_n}(\underline{x}).$$

Proof The proof follows directly from the definition of

$$J_{\bar{y}_n}(\underline{x}) :$$

$$J_{\bar{y}_n}(\underline{x}) \equiv \nabla_{\underline{x}_n} \bar{y}_n$$

property 2.11

$$= (\underline{e}_n \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{e}_1) (\underline{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{e}_n) \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_n} \bar{y}_n$$

$$\text{theorem 3.3(i)} \quad = [\underline{e}_n \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{e}_1] \cdot [(\underline{e}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y) \wedge \dots \wedge (\underline{e}_n \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y)]$$

$$\text{identity 0.41} \quad = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{e}_1 \cdot (\underline{e}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y) & \dots & \underline{e}_n \cdot (\underline{e}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \underline{e}_1 \cdot (\underline{e}_n \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y) & \dots & \underline{e}_n \cdot (\underline{e}_n \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= J_y(\underline{x}),$$

$$\text{since } \underline{e}_j \cdot [\underline{e}_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y(\underline{x})] = \underline{e}_j \cdot \left[\sum_k \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \underline{e}_k \right] = \sum_k \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \delta_{jk} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i}$$

XXXX

The key to the interpretation of $J_{\underline{y}_m}(\underline{x})$ is the following identity: (for $m \leq n$)

$$(B.4) \quad J_{\underline{y}_m}(\underline{x}) = i_{\underline{x}}^{-1} i_{\underline{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \bar{y}_m = i_{\underline{x}}^{-1} i_{\underline{y}},$$

where $i_{\underline{x}}$ is a directed volume element of the surface \mathcal{X}_m at the point \underline{x} , and $i_{\underline{y}} \equiv y_+ i_{\underline{x}}$ is the corresponding directed volume element of the surface \mathcal{Y}_m at the point $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$.

In words (B.4) says that the Jacobian of the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ is the ratio of corresponding directed volume elements on the surfaces.

Finally note that

$$(B.5) \quad |J_{\underline{y}_m}(\underline{x})| = |i_{\underline{x}}^{-1} i_{\underline{y}}| = \frac{|i_{\underline{y}}|}{|i_{\underline{x}}|} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{\underline{y}}}}{\sqrt{g_{\underline{x}}}},$$

is the ratio of the magnitudes of corresponding volume elements on the surfaces \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m respectively.

Considering the surfaces \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m to be embedded in \mathcal{E}_n allows not only the comparison in magnitudes (B.5), but a comparison in directions as well (B.4).

b) Integral Transformations

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_m$ be an invertible mapping between the m -surfaces \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m in \mathcal{E}_n , and let $F(\underline{y})$ be a multi-vector field on \mathcal{Y}_m . (Note that it is not required that $F(\underline{y})$ be a tangent multivector field on \mathcal{Y}_m .)

Property 2.12 is a differential statement of the chain rule.

It can also be represented in the following integral form: Let

$C_{\underline{x}}$ be any (smooth) curve in \mathcal{X}_m , and $C_{\underline{y}}$ the (smooth) curve in \mathcal{Y}_m which is the image of $C_{\underline{x}}$ under the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$.

$$(B.6) \quad \int_{C_{\underline{x}}} d\underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F[y(\underline{x})] = \int_{C_{\underline{y}}} d\underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{y}} F(\underline{y}) ,$$

where $d\underline{y} = d\underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} y(\underline{x})$ is the differential vector of arc on the curve $C_{\underline{y}}$ corresponding to $d\underline{x}$, the differential vector of arc on the curve $C_{\underline{x}}$. (As a reference, see [5, p.367].)

Now let $A_{\underline{x}}^r$ be an r -subsurface of \mathcal{X}_m , and $A_{\underline{y}}^r$ the r -subsurface of \mathcal{Y}_m which is the image of $A_{\underline{x}}^r$ under the mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$. The following integral transformation formulas are integral formulations of differential statements proved in this paper.

$$\text{Theorem B.7} \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_y^r} dY_r F(\underline{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_x^r} dx_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_r} \bar{y}_r F[\underline{y}(\underline{x})],$$

where $dY_r \equiv y_r dx_r = dx_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_r} \bar{y}_r$ is the differential r -vector of directed area on the surface \mathcal{A}_y^r corresponding to dx_r , the differential r -vector of directed area on the surface \mathcal{A}_x^r .

$$\text{Corollary B.8} \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_y^r} |dY_r| F(\underline{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_x^r} |dx_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_r} \bar{y}_r| F[\underline{y}(\underline{x})]$$

$$\text{Corollary B.9} \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_y^m} |dY_m| F(\underline{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_x^m} |dx_m| |J_{\underline{y}_m}(\underline{x})| F[\underline{y}(\underline{x})],$$

where \mathcal{A}_x^m and \mathcal{A}_y^m are m -surfaces in \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m

respectively.

Corollary B.9 is a statement of the change of variables formula for integrals found in advanced calculus books. See for example [3, p.273].

$$\text{Theorem B.10} \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_y^r} dY_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{y}} F(\underline{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_x^r} dx_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_r} \bar{y}_{r-1} F[\underline{y}(\underline{x}_r)].$$

Theorem B.10 is an integral statement of theorem 9.4.

Corollary B.11
$$\int_{A_{\underline{y}}^m} dY_m \nabla_{\underline{y}} F(\underline{y}) = \int_{A_{\underline{x}}^m} dX_m \nabla_{\underline{x}_m} \bar{y}_{m-1} F[y(x_m)] ,$$

where $A_{\underline{x}}^m$ and $A_{\underline{y}}^m$ are m -surfaces in \mathcal{X}_m and \mathcal{Y}_m

respectively.

Corollary B.11 is the integral statement of equation (9.6),

the "dual" chain rule for the gradient operator.

Appendix C. Examples of Mappings

This appendix provides explicit calculations for two kinds of mappings. In part (a), mappings are studied which are of the kind $y(\underline{x}) = \psi(\underline{x}) \underline{x}$, where $\psi(\underline{x})$ is a scalar valued function. In part (b), mappings are studied which are of the kind $y(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} + \psi(\underline{x})\underline{p}$, where $\psi(\underline{x})$ is a scalar valued function, and \underline{p} is a constant vector.

a) Mappings of the Kind $y(\underline{x}) = \psi(\underline{x}) \underline{x}$.

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_m$ be given by $y(\underline{x}) = \psi(\underline{x}) \underline{x}$, where $\psi = \psi(\underline{x})$ is a scalar valued function.

Theorem C.1 For the mapping above, and tangent multi-

vectors $A_r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^r$, and $B^r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{y}}^r$,

$$(i) \quad y_{\dagger} A_r = \psi^{r-1} [\psi A_r + (A_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \underline{x}]$$

$$(ii) \quad y^{\dagger} B^r = \psi^{r-1} [\psi B^r + (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda (\underline{x} \cdot B^r)]$$

$$(iii) \quad J_{\underline{y}_m}^{-1} = \psi^{m-1} [\psi + (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \cdot \underline{x}]$$

$$(iv) \quad \nabla_{\underline{y}} = \psi^{m-1} J_{\underline{y}_m}^{-1} \{ \psi \nabla_{\underline{x}} + \underline{x} \cdot [(\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \nabla_{\underline{x}}] \}$$

Proof (i) $y_{\dagger} A_r \equiv A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r} \bar{y}_r$

$$= A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r} \frac{1}{r!} \psi_1 \bar{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_r \bar{x}_r$$

$$= A_r \cdot [(\nabla_{\bar{x}_r} \psi_r + \psi_r \nabla_{\bar{x}_r}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\nabla_{\bar{x}_1} \psi_1 + \psi_1 \nabla_{\bar{x}_1})] \bar{x}_r$$

$$= \psi^r A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r} \bar{x}_r + \psi^{r-1} A_r \cdot [(\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}}] \bar{x}_{r-1} \wedge \bar{x}_r$$

theorem 7.4(i)

$$= \psi^r A_r + \psi^{r-1} [A_r \cdot (\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi)] \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}} \bar{x}_{r-1} \wedge \bar{x}_r$$

theorem 7.4(i)

$$= \psi^{r-1} [\psi A_r + (A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi) \wedge \bar{x}_r].$$

(ii) is proved in a similar way to (i).

(iii) is proved by using (i) in the identity

$$J_{\bar{y}_m}^- \equiv i_{\bar{x}}^{-1} y_{\dagger} i_{\bar{x}}.$$

(iv) is proved by using (i) in (9.6), and the fact that

$$J_{\bar{y}_m}^{-1} = i_{\bar{y}}^{-1} i_{\bar{x}}.$$

which follows from (B.4).

XXXX

An example of this kind of mapping is the following: Let

$y: \mathcal{E}_3 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3$ be given by $y(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{\underline{x}^2} \underline{x}$. (The mapping $y(\underline{x})$ is an inversion of \mathcal{E}_3 through the 2-sphere of radius one centered at the origin.)

Corollary C.2 For the mapping $y(\underline{x})$ given above,

$$(i) \quad y_{\dagger} A_r = \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^3 \left[A_r - \frac{2}{x^2} (A_r \cdot \underline{x}) \Delta \underline{x} \right] = y^{\dagger} A_r .$$

$$(ii) \quad J_{\underline{y}} = - \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^3$$

$$(iii) \quad \nabla_{\underline{y}} = \underline{x}^2 \nabla_{\underline{x}} - 2 \underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} = - \underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \overbrace{\underline{x}}^{\curvearrowright} , \text{ where } \overbrace{\underline{x}}^{\curvearrowright} \text{ indicates that the gradient operator is not to differentiate the } \underline{x} .$$

Proof The proof is a straight forward calculation using

theorem C.1. It is helpful to note that since $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge y(\underline{x}) = 0$,

$y_{\dagger} A_r = y^{\dagger} A_r$ by theorem 6.2.

XXXX

b) Mappings of the kind $y(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} + \psi(\underline{x}) \underline{p}$.

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_m$ be given by $y(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} + \psi(\underline{x}) \underline{p}$,

where $\psi(x)$ is a scalar valued function, and \underline{p} is a constant vector in \mathcal{D} .

Theorem C.3 For the mapping given above, and tangent

multivectors $A_r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^r$, and $B^r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{y}}^r$,

$$(i) \quad y_+^T A_r = A_r + (A_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \underline{p}$$

$$(ii) \quad y_+^T B^r = B^r + (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda (p \cdot B^r)$$

$$(iii) \quad J_{y_m}^- = 1 - p_{\perp} \nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi + p_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi, \quad \text{where}$$

$p_{\parallel} \in \mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}^1$, is the tangential component of \underline{p} to the surface \mathcal{X}_m ,

$p_{\perp} = \underline{p} - p_{\parallel}$ is the normal component of \underline{p} to the surface \mathcal{X}_m .

$$(iv) \quad \nabla_{\underline{y}} = J_{y_m}^{-1} \{ \nabla_{\underline{x}} - p_{\perp} (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \nabla_{\underline{x}} + p_{\parallel} \cdot [(\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \nabla_{\underline{x}}] \},$$

where p_{\parallel} and p_{\perp} are given as in (iii).

Proof (i) $y_+^T A_r \equiv A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r}^- \bar{y}_r$

$$= A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r}^- \frac{1}{r!} (\underline{x}_1 + \psi_1 \underline{p}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\underline{x}_r + \psi_r \underline{p})$$

$$= A_r \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_r}^- \bar{x}_r + A_r \cdot [(\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi) \Lambda \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}}^-] \bar{x}_{r-1} \Lambda \underline{p}$$

theorem 7.4(i) $= A_r + [A_r \cdot (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi)] \cdot \nabla_{\bar{x}_{r-1}}^- \bar{x}_{r-1} \Lambda \underline{p}$

theorem 7.4(i) $= A_r + [A_r \cdot (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \psi)] \Lambda \underline{p}$

(ii) The proof of (ii) is similar to (i).

(iii) The proof of (iii) follows by using (i) in the

identity $J_{y_m}^- \equiv i_{\underline{x}}^{-1} y_+^T i_{\underline{x}}$, and algebraically simplifying the resulting expression.

(iv) The proof of (iv) follows by using (i) in (9.6),

the fact that $J_{\underline{y}_m}^{-1} = i_{\underline{y}}^{-1} i_{\underline{x}}$, and an algebraic simplification.

XXXX

An example of this kind of mapping is the following: Let

$y: \mathcal{X}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_3$ be given by $y(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} + \sqrt{1 - \underline{x}^2} \underline{p}$,

where:

- (i) \mathcal{X}_2 is a unit disc centered at the origin,
- (ii) \underline{p} is a normal unit vector to the disc \mathcal{X}_2 ,
- (iii) \mathcal{Y}_2 is the hemisphere having \mathcal{X}_2 as its base.

Corollary C.4 For the mapping given above, and tangent

multivectors $A_r \in \mathcal{H}_{\underline{x}}^r$, and $B^r \in \mathcal{H}_{\underline{y}}^r$,

- (i) $y_+ A_r = A_r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \underline{x}^2}} (A_r \cdot \underline{x}) \underline{p}$
- (ii) $y^+ B_r = B^r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \underline{x}^2}} \underline{x} \wedge (\underline{p} \cdot B^r)$
- (iii) $J_{\underline{y}_2} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \underline{x}^2}} \underline{p} \underline{x}$
- (iv) $\nabla_{\underline{y}} = J_{\underline{y}}^{-1} \left[\nabla_{\underline{x}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \underline{x}^2}} \underline{p} \underline{x} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} \right]$

Proof The proof is a straight forward calculation using

theorem C.3. Note that since $\underline{p} = \underline{p}_{\parallel} + \underline{p}_{\perp}$ is perpendicular to \mathcal{X}_2 , $\underline{p}_{\parallel} = 0$.

XXXX

As a final example of the kind of mapping in theorem C.3,

let $y: \mathcal{X}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_3$ be given by $y(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} + \underline{x}^2 \underline{p}$,

where:

(i) \mathcal{X}_2 is a plane through the origin,

(ii) \underline{p} is a normal unit vector to the plane \mathcal{X}_2 ,

(iii) \mathcal{Y}_2 is a paraboloid having \mathcal{X}_2 as a tangent plane at the origin.

Corollary C.5 For the mapping given above, and tangent

multivectors $A_r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^r$ and $B^r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{y}}^r$,

$$(i) \quad y_+ A_r = A_r + 2 A_r \cdot \underline{x} \underline{p}$$

$$(ii) \quad y^+ B^r = B^r + 2 \underline{x} \wedge (\underline{p} \cdot B^r)$$

$$(iii) \quad J_{\underline{y}_2}^- = 1 + 2 \underline{x} \underline{p}$$

$$(iv) \quad \nabla_{\underline{y}} = \nabla_{\underline{x}} + \frac{2}{1+4\underline{x}^2} (\underline{p} - 2\underline{x}) \underline{x} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}}$$

Proof The proof is a straight forward calculation using

theorem C.3. Again note that since $\vec{p} = \vec{p}_{\parallel} + \vec{p}_{\perp}$ is normal to \mathcal{X}_2 ,

$$\vec{p}_{\parallel} = 0.$$

XXXX

Appendix D. Differential Forms

In this appendix the exact relationship between differential forms and geometric algebra is revealed. The algebraic and differential operators which are piecewise introduced on differential forms to enrich their algebraic character, are all simply and directly expressed in terms of geometric algebra together with its one vector differential operator.

A table of the relationships between the two algebraic systems is given in the summary of this paper.

a) Definitions and Basic Properties

The following formal definition of an r -form is used. It is equivalent to that given in [12, p.50] or [4, p.62].

Definition D.1 A differential r -form on a surface \mathcal{X}_m

is a function $f^r(\underline{x})$ which assigns to each point $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_m$ the real valued function $f^r_{\underline{x}} = f^r_{\underline{x}}(w_1, \dots, w_r)$ of the r vector variables $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}$, with the following properties:

$$(i) \quad f^r_{\underline{x}}(w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_j, \dots, w_r) = -f^r_{\underline{x}}(w_1, \dots, w_j, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_r),$$

i.e.: $f_{\underline{x}}^r$ is antisymmetric over any interchange of its vector variables.

(ii) $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r)$ is linear in each of its vector variables.

Since $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r)$ is a function of the r vector variables $\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r$, it can be differentiated by $\nabla_{\underline{w}_r}^-$, the gradient operator with respect to the r -vector variable \underline{w}_r of the tangent m -plane to the surface \mathcal{X}_m at the point \underline{x} . Note that $\nabla_{\underline{x}_r}^- \neq \nabla_{\underline{w}_r}^-$ unless the surface \mathcal{X}_m is flat at the point \underline{x} .

Differentiating $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r)$ by $\nabla_{\underline{w}_r}^-$ is the key idea to the following theorem which gives the one-to-one correspondence that exists between r -forms on \mathcal{X}_m , and tangent r -vector fields on \mathcal{X}_m .

Theorem D.2 (i) To each r -form $f^r(\underline{x})$, there is an r -vector field $F^r(\underline{x})$ with the property that $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{V}_r^\dagger$, where $\underline{V}_r = \underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r$. It is given by

$$F^r(\underline{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{r!} \nabla_{\underline{w}_r}^{-\dagger} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r).$$

(ii) Conversely, if an r -vector field $F^r(\underline{x})$ is given,

then $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) \equiv F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger$ is a differential r -form.

Proof (i) The proof is a direct verification. Let

$F^r(\underline{x})$, and $\underline{v}_r \in \mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}^r$ be given as in the theorem. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger &= \underline{v}_r^\dagger \cdot F^r(\underline{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} (\underline{v}_r \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1) \cdot (\nabla_{\underline{w}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \nabla_{\underline{w}_r}) \\ &\quad f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} [r! \underline{v}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\underline{w}_1} \dots \underline{v}_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{w}_r} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r)] \\ &= f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r). \end{aligned}$$

identity 0.41
def. D.1(i)

theorem A.4

(ii) It is easy to check that $f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) \equiv$

$F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger$ is a differential r -form.

XXXX

The following are helpful definitions for giving a geometric interpretation to an r -form.

Definition D.3 An r -form $f^r(\underline{x})$ is said to be simple

if its corresponding vector field $F^r(\underline{x})$ is simple. (See [11, p.11]

and [18, p.44].)

Definition D.4 If A_r and B_r are simple r -vectors, then $\cos\theta \equiv \frac{\hat{A}_r \cdot \hat{B}_r}{|A_r| |B_r|} = \frac{A_r \cdot B_r}{|A_r| |B_r|}$ defines the angle θ between them. (See [18, p.56].)

Theorem D.2 along with these definitions make the geometric interpretation of a simple r -form evident: A simple r -form

$f_{\underline{x}}^r(y_1, \dots, y_r)$ is a scalar measure of the relative directions of the simple r -vector $F^r = F^r(\underline{x})$ and the r -vector variable

$V_r = \underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r$. In particular, when $V_r = F^r$, $f_{\underline{x}}^r(y_1, \dots, y_r) = |F^r|^2$.

The Grassmann, or exterior product $f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s$ of forms

$f_{\underline{x}}^r$ and $g_{\underline{x}}^s$ is now defined in the conventional way. (See for

example [12, p.51] or [1, p.55].)

Definition D.5 $f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s(y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{r+s})$

$$= \binom{r+s}{s} \sum_{\pi} (-1)^{\pi} f_{\underline{x}}^r \otimes g_{\underline{x}}^s(y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{r+s})^{\pi},$$

where \otimes is the tensor product, and π is a permutation of the

set $\{1, 2, \dots, r+s\}$.

The theorem below gives the simple relationship between the exterior product of forms, and the outer product of multivector fields.

Theorem D.6 If $f_{\underline{X}}^r(v_1, \dots, v_r) = F_{\underline{X}}^r \cdot V_r^\dagger$, and $g_{\underline{X}}^s(v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{r+s}) = G_{\underline{X}}^s \cdot W_s^\dagger$, where $V_r = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_r$, and $W_s = v_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{r+s}$, then $f_{\underline{X}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{X}}^s(v_1, \dots, v_{r+s}) = (F_{\underline{X}}^r \wedge G_{\underline{X}}^s) \cdot (V_r \wedge W_s)^\dagger$.

Proof The proof is an algebraic identity and is omitted.

XXXX

Using theorem D.6, the properties of the exterior product of forms follow easily from the properties of the outer product of multivectors in geometric algebra. Some of these properties are now given.

Theorem D.7 (i) The exterior product of forms is

bilinear.

$$(ii) \quad f_{\underline{X}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{X}}^s = (-1)^{rs} g_{\underline{X}}^s \wedge f_{\underline{X}}^r .$$

$$(iii) \quad f_{\underline{X}}^r \wedge (g_{\underline{X}}^s \wedge h_{\underline{X}}^t) = (f_{\underline{X}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{X}}^s) \wedge h_{\underline{X}}^t .$$

Proof Let $F_{\underline{x}}^r$, $G_{\underline{x}}^s$, and $H_{\underline{x}}^t$ be the multivectors

corresponding to the differential forms $f_{\underline{x}}^r$, $g_{\underline{x}}^s$, and $h_{\underline{x}}^t$ respec-

tively, at the point $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_m$. The proof of the theorem follows

from the following algebraic properties of geometric algebra, and

theorem D.6.

(i) The \wedge -product of multivectors is bilinear.

$$(ii) \quad F_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge G_{\underline{x}}^s = (-1)^{rs} G_{\underline{x}}^s \wedge F_{\underline{x}}^r. \quad (\text{identity 0.45})$$

$$(iii) \quad F_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge (G_{\underline{x}}^s \wedge H_{\underline{x}}^t) = (F_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge G_{\underline{x}}^s) \wedge H_{\underline{x}}^t.$$

XXXX

b) The Exterior Derivative

The exterior derivative d -operator of forms is often defined in the following way. See for example [12, p.89] or [4, p.65].

Definition D.8 Let $f_{\underline{x}}^r$ be an r -form. Then

$$\begin{aligned} df_{\underline{x}}^r(v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}) &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(v_1, \dots, \underline{v}_i, \dots, v_{r+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f_{\underline{x}}^r([v_i, v_j], v_1, \dots, \underline{v}_i, \dots, \underline{v}_j, \dots, v_{r+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $[v_i, v_j]$ is Lie bracket of vectors defined in section 10, and

\check{v}_j , means the j^{th} vector v_j is omitted.

The theorem below shows that if $F^r(\underline{x})$ is the r -vector field corresponding to $f^r_{\underline{x}}$, then $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})$ is the $(r+1)$ -vector field corresponding to $df^r_{\underline{x}}$.

Theorem D.9 If $f^r_{\underline{x}}(v_1, \dots, v_r) = F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot v_r^\dagger$, where

$v_r = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_r$, then $df^r_{\underline{x}}(v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}) = [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] \cdot v_{r+1}$,

where $v_{r+1} = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{r+1}$.

Proof The proof is a direct verification.

$$[\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] \cdot v_{r+1}^\dagger = [v_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_1] \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})]$$

$$\text{identity 0.42} \quad = \{ [v_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_1] \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \} \cdot F^r(\underline{x})$$

$$\text{identity 0.40} \quad = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} [v_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{v}_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_1] v_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \right\} \cdot F^r(\underline{x})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} [v_{r+1}(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \check{v}_i(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge$$

$$v_1(\underline{x})] \cdot F^r(\underline{x}) + \left[\left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^i \{ v_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} [v_{r+1}(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \check{v}_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_1] \} \right] \right] \cdot F^r(\underline{x}).$$

But,

$$\left[\left[\text{---} \right] \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{r+1} (-1)^i [v_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge (v_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} v_j) \wedge \dots \wedge \check{v}_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_1]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+j} [\underline{v}_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1] \wedge [\underline{v}_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}_j] \\
&= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [\underline{v}_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1] \wedge [\underline{v}_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}_j] + \sum_{i < j} \\
&\quad (-1)^{i+j} [\underline{v}_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1] \wedge [\underline{v}_j \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}_i] \\
&= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [\underline{v}_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1] \wedge [\underline{v}_i, \underline{v}_j] .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] \cdot \underline{v}_{r+1}^\dagger &= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} \underline{v}_i \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} [\underline{v}_{r+1}(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1(\underline{x})] \cdot F^r(\underline{x}) + \\
&\quad \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} ([\underline{v}_{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\underline{v}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_1] \wedge [\underline{v}_i, \underline{v}_j]) \cdot F^r(\underline{x}) \\
&\equiv df_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_{r+1}) .
\end{aligned}$$

XXXX

The following properties of the exterior derivative of forms follow easily by using the previous theorem, and corresponding properties of $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$.

Theorem D.10 If $f_{\underline{x}}^r$, and $g_{\underline{x}}^s$ are two forms on \mathcal{X}_m , then

- (i) $d(f_{\underline{x}}^r + g_{\underline{x}}^r) = df_{\underline{x}}^r + dg_{\underline{x}}^r$, when $s = r$
(ii) $d(f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s) = (df_{\underline{x}}^r) \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s + (-1)^r f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge dg_{\underline{x}}^s$

$$(iii) \quad d(df_{\underline{x}}^r) = 0 .$$

Proof Let $f_{\underline{x}}^r(v_1, \dots, v_r) = F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot V_r^+$, and $g_{\underline{x}}^s(v_1, \dots, v_s) = G^s(\underline{x}) \cdot W_s^+$, where $F^r(\underline{x})$, and $G^s(\underline{x})$ are the corresponding multivector fields for $f_{\underline{x}}^r$ and $g_{\underline{x}}^s$ given by theorem D.2(ii). The proof of the theorem follows from the properties of the gradient operator listed below:

$$(i) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge [F^r(\underline{x}) + G^r(\underline{x})] = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x}) + \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge G^r(\underline{x}) .$$

$$(ii) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge [F^r(\underline{x}) \wedge G^s(\underline{x})] = [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] \wedge G^s(\underline{x}) \\ + (-1)^r F^r(\underline{x}) \wedge [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge G^s(\underline{x})]$$

$$(iii) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] = 0 . \quad (\text{property 2.13})$$

XXXX

c) The Contraction Operator

The contraction operator $C_{\underline{v}}$, for $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^1$, is a mapping of r -forms into $(r-1)$ -forms. It is defined below. (See [12, p.91] or [4, p.69] for an equivalent definition.)

Definition D.11 For an r -form $f_{\underline{x}}^r$ on \mathcal{X}_m ,

$$C_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) \equiv f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) .$$

Let f_X^r be an r -form, and F_X^r be the corresponding r -vector field given by theorem D.2.

$$\text{Theorem D.12} \quad C_Y f_X^r (v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) = [Y \cdot F_X^r] \cdot v_{r-1}^\dagger,$$

where $v_{r-1} = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{r-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof} \quad [Y \cdot F_X^r] \cdot v_{r-1}^\dagger &= F_X^r \cdot (v_{r-1}^\dagger \wedge Y) && \text{identity 0.42} \\ &\equiv f_X^r (Y, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

XXXX

The following theorem gives the basic properties of the contraction operator C_Y . The proof, which is omitted, follows easily by using theorems D.2 and D.12, and algebraic properties of geometric algebra.

Let f_X^r and g_X^s be forms on X_m . Then:

Theorem D.13

- (i) $(C_Y)^2 f_X^r = 0$
- (ii) $C_Y [f_X^r + g_X^s] = C_Y f_X^r + C_Y g_X^s$
- (iii) $C_{Y+W} f_X^r = C_Y f_X^r + C_W f_X^r$
- (iv) $C_Y [f_X^r \wedge g_X^s] = [C_Y f_X^r] \wedge g_X^s + (-1)^r f_X^r \wedge [C_Y g_X^s]$

d) The Covariant Derivative

The covariant derivative operator $D_{\underline{v}}$ for $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{D}_X^1$, is a mapping of r -forms into r -forms. The definition for it given below is equivalent to that found in [12, p.94].

Let f_X^r be an r -form on the surface \mathcal{X}_m , and let

$\underline{v} \in \mathcal{D}_X$. Then:

Definition D.14 $D_{\underline{v}} f_X^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) \equiv \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_X f_X^r(\underline{v}_1(\underline{x}), \dots,$

$$\underline{v}_r(\underline{x})) - \sum_{i=1}^r f_X^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_{i-1}, \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_X \underline{v}_i(\underline{x}), \underline{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \underline{v}_r).$$

Let $f_X^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = F_X^r \cdot V_r^\dagger$, where F_X^r is given by

theorem D.2(ii), and $V_r = V_r(\underline{x}) = \underline{v}_1(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r(\underline{x})$. The next

theorem relates the covariant derivative of a form to the direc-

tional derivative of its corresponding multivector field. Its

proof is an easy consequence of the identity

$$\underline{v} \cdot \nabla_X V_r(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_X \underline{v}_i(\underline{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r.$$

Theorem D.15 $D_{\underline{v}} f_X^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = [\underline{v} \cdot \nabla_X F^r(\underline{x})] \cdot V_r^\dagger$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x})] \cdot V_r^\dagger &= \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} [F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot V_r^\dagger(\underline{x})] - F^r \cdot [\underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} V_r^\dagger(\underline{x})] \\
 &\equiv D_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r,
 \end{aligned}$$

using the identity given above, and definition D.14.

XXXX

e) The Lie Derivative

The Lie derivative $L_{\underline{y}}$ is a mapping of r-forms into r-forms.

Its definition is given below. See [12, p.93] or [1, p.64].

Definition D.16 $L_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_r) \equiv \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1(\underline{x}), \dots,$

$$\underline{y}_r(\underline{x})) - \sum_{i=1}^r f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_{i-1}, [\underline{y}, \underline{y}_i], \underline{y}_{i+1}, \dots, \underline{y}_r).$$

Theorem D.17 (i) $L_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_r) = \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} [F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot V_r^\dagger(\underline{x})]$

$$- F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot [\underline{y}, V_r]^\dagger, \text{ where } f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_r) = F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot V_r^\dagger, \text{ and } [\underline{y}, V_r]$$

is the Lie bracket on multivector fields defined in section 10.

$$\text{(ii) } L_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r = \{ \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r + \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \Lambda[\underline{y}(\underline{x}_1) \cdot F_{\underline{x}}^r] \} \cdot V_r^\dagger.$$

Proof (i) The proof is direct and uses theorem 10.10(i)

for the decomposition of $[\underline{y}, V_r]$.

$$L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger(\underline{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^r F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot [\underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_{i-1} \wedge [\underline{v}, \underline{v}_i] \wedge \underline{v}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r]^\dagger$$

theorem 10.10(i)
$$= \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger(\underline{x}) - F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot [\underline{v}, \underline{v}_r]^\dagger .$$

(ii) follows from (i) by the short computation given below.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r &= \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger(\underline{x}) - F^r \cdot [\underline{v}, \underline{v}_r]^\dagger \quad \text{using (i)} \\ &= \underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger(\underline{x}) - F^r \cdot [\underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}_r^\dagger(\underline{x})] + \\ &\quad (\nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge [\underline{v}(\underline{x}_1) \cdot F^r]) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger \\ &= (\underline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) + \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge [\underline{v}(\underline{x}_1) \cdot F^r]) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger . \end{aligned}$$

XXXX

Well-known properties of the Lie derivative are given in

the next theorem and are proved using theorem D.17. Let

$$f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = F^r(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{v}_r^\dagger, \text{ and } g_{\underline{x}}^s = G^s(\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{w}_s^\dagger, \text{ then :}$$

Theorem D.18 (i)
$$L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r = C_{\underline{v}} df_{\underline{x}}^r + d C_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r .$$

(ii)
$$L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s = (L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r) \wedge g_{\underline{x}}^s + f_{\underline{x}}^r \wedge L_{\underline{v}} g_{\underline{x}}^s$$

(iii)
$$d L_{\underline{v}} f_{\underline{x}}^r = L_{\underline{v}} df_{\underline{x}}^r .$$

(11) $\mathcal{L}_Y \omega = \mathcal{L}_Y \langle F, \dots, F \rangle$

identity 4.33

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \langle \mathcal{L}_Y F^i(x) - \nabla_{X_i} \langle F^i(x), F^r(x) \rangle + \nabla_{X_r} \langle F^i(x), F^r(x) \rangle, F^i(x) \rangle - \nabla_{X_r} \langle F^i(x), F^r(x) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{L}_Y F^r(x) + \nabla_{X_1} \langle F^r(x), F^r(x) \rangle, F^r(x) \rangle - \nabla_{X_r} \langle F^r(x), F^r(x) \rangle$$

theorem D.17(ii) $\equiv \mathcal{L}_Y F^r(x) (v_1, \dots, v_r)$

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) follow from (i) by using the properties proved for C_Y and d in theorems D.10 and D.12.

XXXX

f) The Pull Back of Forms

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_k$. The mapping $y = y(x)$ induces a linear mapping y^* called the "pull back," of r -forms g_y^r on the surface \mathcal{Y}_k into r -forms $y^* g_y^r$ on the surface \mathcal{X}_m . The following definition of y^* is equivalent to that given in [12, p.53].

Definition D.19 $y^* g_y^r (v_1(x), \dots, v_r(x)) \equiv g_y^r (y_{+v_1}(x),$

$\dots, y_{+v_r}(x))$, where y_{+} is the differential mapping of the tan-

gent space \mathcal{H}_x^1 into the tangent space \mathcal{H}_y^1 defined in section 3.

Proof

$$(i) \quad C_{\underline{y}} df_{\underline{x}}^r + d C_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r$$

$$= \{ \underline{y} \cdot [\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F^r(\underline{x})] + \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge [\underline{y}(\underline{x}) \cdot F^r(\underline{x})] \} \cdot \underline{v}_r^+$$

identity 0.38

$$= \{ \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) - \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge [\underline{y} \cdot F^r(\underline{x}_1)] + \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge [\underline{y}(\underline{x}) \cdot F^r(\underline{x})] \} \cdot \underline{v}_r^+$$

$$= \{ \underline{y} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F^r(\underline{x}) + \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge [\underline{y}(\underline{x}_1) \cdot F^r] \} \cdot \underline{v}_r^+$$

$$\text{theorem D.17(ii)} \quad \equiv L_{\underline{y}} f_{\underline{x}}^r(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_r)$$

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) follow from (i) by using the properties proved for $C_{\underline{y}}$ and d in theorems D.10 and D.12.

XXXX

f) The Pull Back of Forms

Let $y: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_k$. The mapping $\underline{y} = y(\underline{x})$ induces a

linear mapping y^* called the "pull back," of r -forms $g_{\underline{y}}^r$ on the surface \mathcal{Y}_k into r -forms $y^* g_{\underline{y}}^r$ on the surface \mathcal{X}_m . The following definition of y^* is equivalent to that given in [12, p.53].

Definition D.19 $y^* g_{\underline{y}}^r(\underline{y}_1(\underline{x}), \dots, \underline{y}_r(\underline{x})) \equiv g_{\underline{y}}^r(y_{+1} \underline{y}_1(\underline{x}),$

$\dots, y_{+r} \underline{y}_r(\underline{x}))$, where y_{+} is the differential mapping of the tan-

gent space $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{x}}^1$ into the tangent space $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{y}}^1$ defined in section 3.

Let the r -form g_y^r be given by $g_y^r(\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_r) =$

$G^r(\underline{y}) \cdot W_r^t$, where $W_r = \underline{w}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{w}_r$, and $G^r(\underline{y})$ is the r -vector

field for g_y^r given by theorem D.2.

Theorem D.20 $y^* g_y^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = [y^t G^r(\underline{y})] \cdot V_r^t$, where

$$V_r = \underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r \in \mathcal{D}_x^r.$$

Proof $y^* g_y^r(\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_r) = G_y^r \cdot [y^t \underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r]^t$

theorem 3.3(i)

$$= G_y^r \cdot [y^t(\underline{v}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{v}_r)]^t$$

$$= G_y^r \cdot y^t V_r^t$$

cor. 3.6

$$= (y^t G_y^r) \cdot V_r^t$$

XXXX

The following properties of y^* now follow easily from the properties of y^t , and the preceding theorems of this appendix.

Theorem D.21 Let f_y^r and g_y^s be forms on \mathcal{Y}_k . Then,

$$(i) \quad y^*(f_y^r + g_y^r) = y^* f_y^r + y^* g_y^r, \text{ for } r = s.$$

$$(ii) \quad y^* f_y^r \wedge g_y^s = y^* f_y^r \wedge y^* g_y^s$$

$$(iii) \quad d y^* f_y^r = y^* d f_y^r$$

Appendix E. The Intrinsic Gradient and Curvature

Throughout this paper the tangential gradient has been used. In this appendix another gradient called the intrinsic gradient is introduced. The relationships between the gradient ∇ on \mathcal{E}_n , the tangential gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ on \mathcal{X}_m , and the intrinsic gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ on \mathcal{X}_m are studied, and a new formulation of the Gauss curvature equation is given.

a) The Gradients ∇ , $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ and $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$

Let \mathcal{X}_m be an m -surface in \mathcal{E}_n .

The gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ on the surface \mathcal{X}_m is related to the gradient ∇ on \mathcal{E}_n by the following equation:

$$(E.1) \quad \nabla = \nabla_{\parallel} + \nabla_{\perp}, \text{ where } \nabla_{\underline{x}} \equiv \nabla_{\parallel}$$

Equation (E.1) shows that if the gradient ∇ of \mathcal{E}_n is decomposed into a tangential component ∇_{\parallel} and a normal component ∇_{\perp} to the surface \mathcal{X}_m at the point \underline{x} , then $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ is the tangential component.

The identification of $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ as being the tangential component of ∇ to the surface \mathcal{X}_m ensures that it will obey all the familiar operational properties of the gradient ∇ on \mathcal{E}_n . (In fact $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ can be thought of as the gradient of the tangent m -plane to the

surface \mathcal{X}_m at the point \underline{x} .) However, it differs from ∇ in one crucial respect, and that is it doesn't preserve tangent multivector fields on \mathcal{X}_m . I.e., if $F(\underline{x})$ is a tangent multivector field on \mathcal{E}_n , then $\nabla F(\underline{x})$ will be also, but if $F(\underline{x})$ is a tangent multivector field on \mathcal{X}_m , $\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})$ will in general have both tangential and normal components to the surface, \mathcal{X}_m .

The following equation decomposes $\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})$ into tangential and normal components, and at the same time identifies the intrinsic gradient applied to $F(\underline{x})$.

$$(E.2) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) = [\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})]_{\parallel} + [\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})]_{\perp},$$

where $\not\partial_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) \equiv [\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})]_{\parallel}$.

In words, (E.2) says that if $\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})$ is decomposed into tangential and normal components to the surfaces \mathcal{X}_m at the point \underline{x} , then the intrinsic gradient of $F(\underline{x})$ is defined to be the tangential part.

Thus where $\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})$ suffers the "defect" of not preserving tangent fields on \mathcal{X}_m , $\not\partial_{\underline{x}}$ removes this defect by "throwing away" the normal part to the surface.

A more formal definition of $\not\partial_{\underline{x}}$ in terms of $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ is now given.

Definition E.3 $\not\partial_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) \equiv \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p_{\underline{x}} p_{\underline{x}}^{\dagger} = [\nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})] \cdot p_{\underline{x}} p_{\underline{x}}^{\dagger}$,

where $p_{\underline{x}}$ is a unit pseudoscalar field on \mathcal{X}_m , and $F(\underline{x}) \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}$

Important special cases of this definition are:

$$(E.3a) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot F(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \cdot [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{p}_{\underline{x}} \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}^\dagger]$$

$$(E.3b) \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge F(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \wedge [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{p}_{\underline{x}} \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}^\dagger]$$

$$(E.3c) \quad A_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) = A_r \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}] \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}^\dagger$$

$$(E.3d) \quad A_r \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) = A_r \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}] \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}^\dagger ;$$

The theorem below relates properties of the intrinsic gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ to properties of the tangential gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}_1}$.

Let $[A_r/B_s]$ denote the Lie bracket operation defined in section 10, but with respect to the intrinsic gradient.

Theorem E.4 (i) $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot F(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \cdot F(\underline{x})$

(ii) $[A_r/B_s] = [A_r, B_s]$, for $A_r, B_s \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}$

(iii) $\underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{b}(\underline{x}) - \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \underline{b}(\underline{x}) = - [bAS(\underline{a})] \underline{p}_{\underline{x}}^\dagger$,

for $\underline{a}(\underline{x}), \underline{b}(\underline{x}) \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}$, and where $S(\underline{a})$ is the shape operator defined in section 13.

Proof (i) The proof of (i) follows immediately from

definition E.3 and theorem 10.12.

(ii) By the decomposition given in lemma 10.11(ii),

$$\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot (A_r \wedge B_s) = (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot A_r) \wedge B_s + (-1)^r A_r \wedge (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot B_s) + (-1)^{r+1} [A_r, B_s].$$

The same decomposition applied to $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ gives

$$\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot (A_r \wedge B_s) = (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot A_r) \wedge B_s + (-1)^r A_r \wedge (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot B_s) + (-1)^{r+1} [A_r, B_s].$$

But by (i), $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot (A_r \wedge B_s) = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot (A_r \wedge B_s)$, $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot A_r = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot A_r$, and

$$\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot B_s = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot B_s. \text{ Hence it follows that } [A_r, B_s] = [A_r, B_s].$$

$$(iii) \quad \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{b}(\underline{x}) - \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{b}(\underline{x})$$

$$= \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \underline{b}(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p_{\underline{x}} p_{\underline{x}}^\dagger + \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \underline{b}(\underline{x}_1) \wedge p_{\underline{x}} p_{\underline{x}}^\dagger - \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{b}(\underline{x})$$

$$\text{def. E.3c} \quad = \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} \underline{b}(\underline{x}_1) \wedge p_{\underline{x}} p_{\underline{x}}^\dagger$$

$$\text{theorem 13.2(i)} \quad = - [\underline{b} \wedge \underline{a} S(\underline{a})] p_{\underline{x}}^\dagger$$

XXXX

Part (iii) of the last theorem shows that the difference between the tangential and intrinsic directional derivatives of a vector field is completely determined by the shape of the surface. (See [12, p.75] for a similar result.)

b) Gaussian Curvature

The proof of the following theorem makes use of the fact that $\nabla_{\underline{x}} p(\underline{x}) = 0$. This is an easy consequence of theorem 13.2(iii)

and definition E.3.

$$\text{Theorem E.5} \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}) \cdot p(\underline{x}_1)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_2),$$

where $F(\underline{x}) \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}$, and $p(\underline{x})$ is a unit pseudoscalar field.

$$\text{Proof} \quad \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x}) = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} [F(\underline{x}) \cdot p(\underline{x})] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x})$$

$$\text{def. E.3} \quad = \nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p(\underline{x})] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x})$$

$$\text{def. E.3} \quad = \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}_1} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p(\underline{x})]_2 \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x})$$

$$\text{property 2.13} \quad = \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p(\underline{x}_2)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x})$$

$$= \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}_1) \cdot p(\underline{x}_2)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_1)$$

$$- \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}) \cdot p(\underline{x}_2)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_1)$$

$$= \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} F(\underline{x}_1) [p(\underline{x}_2) \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_1)]$$

$$- \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}) \cdot p(\underline{x}_2)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_1)$$

$$\text{theorem 73.2(iii), (iv)} \quad = \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} [F(\underline{x}) \cdot p(\underline{x}_1)] \cdot p^\dagger(\underline{x}_2) .$$

XXXX

Theorem E.5 shows that $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} F(\underline{x})$ is completely determined by the shape of the surface, and is independent of the field properties of $F(\underline{x})$.

Corollary E.6 $\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} \underline{v} \cdot [p(\underline{x}_1) p^t(\underline{x}_2)]_{bi}$,

where $\underline{v}(\underline{x}) \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}^1$, and "bi" stands for bivector part.

Proof The proof is an algebraic simplification of theorem

E.5 with $F(\underline{x}) = \underline{v}(\underline{x})$.

XXXX

The following definition for curvature is analogous to that given in [12, p.59].

Definition E.7 Call $R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \equiv (\underline{b} \wedge \underline{a}) \cdot (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}})$ the curva-

ture operator of the vectors $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^1$.

Applying theorem 10.6(i) to the intrinsic gradient $\nabla_{\underline{x}}$ gives the following identity for $R(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$, when $\underline{a}(\underline{x}), \underline{b}(\underline{x}) \in \{F(\underline{x})\}_{\underline{x}}$

$$(E.8) \quad R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \equiv [\underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}}, \underline{b} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}}] - [\underline{a}, \underline{b}] \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}}.$$

Applying $R(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$ to a vector field $\underline{v}(\underline{x})$ and using corollary E.6, gives a form of what is known as the Gauss curvature equation for a surface in \mathcal{E}_n . (See [12, p.76].)

Theorem E.9 $R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \underline{v} = [S(\underline{a}) S^t(\underline{b})]_2 \cdot \underline{v}$

Proof The proof is direct using corollary E.6.

$$R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \underline{v} = (\underline{b} \wedge \underline{a}) \cdot (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \wedge \nabla_{\underline{x}}) \underline{v}(\underline{x})$$

cor. E.6

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} (\underline{b} \wedge \underline{a}) \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}_2} \underline{v} \cdot [p(\underline{x}_1) p^\dagger(\underline{x}_2)]_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{v} \cdot [S(\underline{b}) S^\dagger(\underline{a}) - S(\underline{a}) S^\dagger(\underline{b})]_2 \\ &= [S(\underline{a}) S^\dagger(\underline{b})]_2 \cdot \underline{v} \end{aligned}$$

XXXX

Finally theorem E.9 will be applied to a hypersurface \mathcal{X}_{n-1} of \mathcal{E}_n to show more clearly the relationship of this theorem to more usual formulations. Let I be a unit pseudo-scalar element of \mathcal{E}_n , then $\underline{n}(\underline{x}) \equiv p(\underline{x})I$ is an orthonormal vector field to \mathcal{X}_{n-1} .

The following definition and theorem are given in [12, p.77].

Definition E.10 Call $L(\underline{a}) \equiv \underline{a} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{n} = S(\underline{a}) I$ the

Weingarten mapping for $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}}^1$.

Theorem E.11 For the hypersurface \mathcal{X}_{n-1} ,

$$R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \underline{v} = \underline{v} \cdot L(\underline{b}) L(\underline{a}) - \underline{v} \cdot L(\underline{a}) L(\underline{b})$$

Proof $R(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \underline{v} = [S(\underline{a}) S^\dagger(\underline{b})]_2 \cdot \underline{v}$

$$= [S(\underline{a}) I I^\dagger S^\dagger(\underline{b})]_2 \cdot \underline{v}$$

$$= [L(\underline{a}) \wedge L(\underline{b})] \cdot \underline{v}$$

identity 0.39

$$= \underline{v} \cdot L(\underline{b}) L(\underline{a}) - \underline{v} \cdot L(\underline{a}) L(\underline{b})$$

XXXX

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my advisor, David Hestenes, who is the sole inspiration for this work, whose uncanny insight never allowed me far off the treacherous path to understanding, and for his never failing encouragement in countless talks.

To the Arizona State University Department of Mathematics for patient long years of support in an informal and friendly atmosphere.

To my parents and brothers and sisters, -- and Kathy.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Abraham, Ralph, Foundations of Mechanics, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967.
2. Apostol, Tom M., Calculus, Vol. II, Blaisdell Publishing Co., New York, 1962.
3. _____, Mathematical Analysis, Addison Wesley Publishing Co., Massachusetts, 1957.
4. Bishop, Richard L., and Crittenden, Richard J., Geometry of Manifolds, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
5. Buck, R. Creighton, Advanced Calculus, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965.
6. Gantmacher, F. R., Matrix Theory, Vol. I, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1960.
7. Greub, W.H., Linear Algebra, 3rd Edition, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1967.
8. _____, Multilinear Algebra, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1967.
9. Hestenes, David, "Multivector Calculus," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 24, No. 2, November 1968, Academic Press, New York.
10. _____, "Multivector Functions," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 24, No. 3, December 1968, Academic Press, New York.

11. _____, Space-Time Algebra, Documents on Modern Physics, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1966.
12. Hicks, Noel J., Notes on Differential Geometry, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, 1965.
13. Hu, S. T., Differentiable Manifolds, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1969.
14. Kreyszig, Erwin, Introduction to Differential Geometry and Riemannian Geometry, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1968.
15. Lehti, Raino, "On the Introduction of Dyadics into Elementary Vector Algebra," Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae, XXVI, 2, Helsinki-Helsingfors, 1962.
16. O'Neill, Barrett, Elementary Differential Geometry, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
17. Segercrantz, Jerry, "Note on the Proof of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem," Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae, Vol 34, No. 3, Helsinki-Helsingfors, 1968.
18. Whitney, Hassler, Geometric Integration Theory, Part I, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1957.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Garret Eugene Sobczyk was born in Cambridge, Massachusetts on April 3, 1943. He received his elementary education in Los Alamos, New Mexico, and his secondary education at P. K. Young High School in Gainesville, Florida. In 1961 he attended Illinois Institute of Technology. In 1962 he attended the University of Florida. In May 1964 he graduated from Western State College (Gunnison, Colorado) with a Bachelor of Arts degree in mathematics. He entered graduate school at the University of Virginia in September 1965. Since September 1966 he has held a graduate assistantship in the Department of Mathematics at Arizona State University, while studying for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. He is single.

Vita

Born: April 3, 1943, Cambridge, Massachusetts

High School Diploma: June 1961, P.K. Young, Gainesville, Fla.

B.A. Degree (Math.): June 1964, Western State College
Gunnison, Colorado

Graduate School: Fall 1964, University of Virginia,
Charlottesville, Virginia

Seismic Analyst and Computer Programmer: Spring and Summer, 1965
United Electro Dynamics, Alexandria, Virginia

Teaching Assistant (Math.): 1965-1971, ASU, Tempe, Arizona

M.A. Degree (Math.): July 1966, ASU, Tempe, Arizona

Summer Fellow: Summer 1968, ASU, Tempe, Arizona

Ph.D. Degree (Math.): September 1971, ASU, Tempe, Arizona

Visiting Assistant Professor: September 1971 to present, (1972)
ASU, Tempe, Arizona

Marital Status: Single

Publications

Program NØSPIKE: Written for United Electro Dynamics for the
purpose of processing digital seismic data.
(July, 1965)

Mappings of Surfaces in Euclidean Space Using Geometric Algebra
(Dissertation) ASU, Tempe, Arizona, June 1971

Geometric Algebra and Linear Transformations: In process of
being written by D. Hestenes and myself and
based in part on results from my dissertation.